

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,110 08/18/2003		08/18/2003	Jeffrey F. Roeder	ATMI-605	3324
25559	7590	06/15/2004		EXAMINER	
ATMI, INC	C.		ROCCHEGIANI, RENZO		
7 COMMEI DANBURY		_		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dinaboki	, 01 000	,,,,		2825	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/643,1	10	ROEDER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit				
			Rocchegiani	2825				
	The MAILING DATE f this commun				ss			
Period fo								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum so the toreply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exmunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and very will, by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this commu	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 18 August 200	3.					
2a) <u></u>		2b) ☐ This action is r						
3)□	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) \(\times \) 5) \(\propto \) 6) \(\propto \) 7) \(\propto \)	Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction	are withdrawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)☐ accepted or b)□ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	-	= : :	-	* *			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	OTO 049)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Infon	re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/643,110

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-31 and 49, drawn to method of forming a nitride, classified in class 438, subclass 758.
- II. Claims 32-48, drawn to a precursor, classified in class 524, subclass 86.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of use and product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product may be used for a materially different process. For example it may be used to form a material via a liquid deposition as opposed to a chemical vapor deposition.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: with respect to group I, species one comprises claims

Application/Control Number: 10/643,110

Art Unit: 2825

1-21, i.e. the method of forming a nitride using a precursor comprising $(R_1R_2N)_{a-b}MX_b$, and species two comprises claims 22-31 and 49, i.e. the method of forming a nitride using a precursor comprising $R_mR^I_nSiH_vX_{4(m+n+v)}$.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2825

6. A telephone call was made to Ms. Chappuis on May 28, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renzo N. Rocchegiani whose telephone number is (571)272-1904. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571)272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,110 Page 5

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Renzo N. Rocchegiani Examiner Art Unit 2825

MATTHEW SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800